Appendix A:

No Standing to Bring CEA Claims Because of Inability to Allege "Actual Damages"

Appendix A - No Standing to Bring CEA Claims Because of Inability to Allege "Actual Damages"

#			8		No Conduct		Conduct Not	
		Transaction ¹					•	Could Not
							Direction ²	Have
				Euribor		& Conduct Not		Impacted
						in 3-Month		Euribor
_						Tenor		
1		Initiated short	"Defendants' plan to artificially increase the price of				X	
		1	NYSE LIFFE three-month Euribor futures contracts					
			between December 2006 and March 19, 2007." FAC ¶					
			303 (referencing FAC Part II.D.2, which alleges that					
			traders at Deutsche Bank, Crédit Agricole, HSBC,					
			JPMorgan, and Société Générale formed a plan to <i>lower</i>					
			three-month Euribor on March 19, 2007).					
2	Sullivan	Initiated long	"Communications released as part of UBS' DOJ	X				X
			settlement reveal that Defendants were engaged in a					(3-month)
			downward manipulation of three-month and six-month					
			Euribor on October 2, 2006 " FAC ¶ 307 (quoting					
			as support an October 2, 2006 communication					
		"	referenced in the UBS DOJ SOF [FAC Ex. A-1 at 33]).3					
3	Sullivan		r and a second s	X				X
			CFTC settlement reveal that Defendants were engaged					(1-month)
		CME Euro currency	in an upward manipulation of one-month Euribor on					
			October 4, 2006 " FAC ¶ 307 (quoting as support					
		,	an October 4, 2006 communication referenced in the					
		FAC ¶ 306.	Rabobank CFTC Order [FAC Ex. C-2 at 41]).					

When a plaintiff "opens" (or "initiates") a *long* position in a future, it is buying a future; and when it "closes" (or "liquidates") the long position, it is selling a future. FAC ¶ 122. Conversely, when a plaintiff opens a *short* position, it is selling a future; when it closes the short position, it is buying a future. *See id.*

² The FAC alleges that "the price of a CME Euro currency futures contract . . . will exhibit an inverse relationship to the changes in Euribor," such that increases in Euribor will "decreas[e] the value of the contract" and *vice versa*. FAC ¶ 123. The FAC alleges the same inverse relationship between Euribor and LIFFE three-month Euribor futures. *See id.* ¶ 119. Because the FAC alleges that a plaintiff is injured when it buys a future at an inflated price and sells a future at a suppressed price, *see, e.g., id.* ¶¶ 308-09, a plaintiff opening a long position is allegedly injured if it buys at an inflated price (due to suppressed Euribor) to open the position or sells at a suppressed price (due to inflated Euribor) to open the position or buys at an inflated price (due to suppressed Euribor) to close the position.

³ The FAC alleges *upward* manipulation of 6-month Euribor on the same date (October 2, 2006) by three other Defendants. FAC App. B at 2. Per the FAC, this conduct would have benefitted Plaintiff Sullivan's long CME Euro currency futures position. Plaintiffs neglect to cite this conduct in connection with the alleged harm to Sullivan's position. *See* FAC ¶¶ 305-09.

Case 1:13-cv-02811-PKC Document 198-1 Filed 10/14/15 Page 3 of 3

#	Plaintiff		Alleged Relevant Misconduct	Product	No Conduct	Product	Conduct Not	Conduct
		Transaction ¹		Not				Could Not
								Have
				Euribor		& Conduct Not		Impacted
						in 3-Month		Euribor
	G 11:	T '.' . 1 1 .		37		Tenor	A 1 .	A 1 ·
4	Sullivan	Initiated short	"Communications revealed in Rabobank and Barclays	X	X		_	Analysis
		1	CFTC settlements demonstrate that Defendants were					not possible because no
			actively engaged in manipulating Euribor between January 13, 2006, and June 1, 2006." FAC ¶ 310 (citing				specific	specific
			pages from the Rabobank CFTC Order [FAC Ex. C-2 at					conduct
			40] and Barclays CFTC Order [FAC Ex. E-2 at 14] that				identified and	
			do not identify any conduct occurring on April 12, 2006				no direction	identified.
			or April 17, 2006).				of conduct	
		510.	or ripin 17, 2000).				alleged.	
5	White Oak	Initiated short	"Communications released as part of the statement of	X	X		X	X
			facts incorporated UBS' DOJ non-prosecution				(June 29,	(6-month)
			agreement demonstrate that Defendants were engaged				2010	
		futures on June 8,	in manipulating Euribor during the time period that				analyzed,	
			Plaintiff White Oak held this short position " FAC ¶				although not	
			313 (quoting as support a June 29, 2010 communication				a transaction	
			regarding a higher 6-month submission referenced in				date)	
	TT 1		the UBS DOJ SOF [FAC Ex. A-1 at 34]).		T .7	***		
6	White Oak	Initiated long	"Communications released as part of UBS' non-		X	X		Analysis
			prosecution agreement, show that Defendants were					not possible
			engaged in manipulating six-month Euribor higher during the time period that White Oak held a long					because no specific
			position in NYSE LIFFE three-month Euribor futures					conduct
			contracts." FAC ¶ 316 (citing no instances of UBS					identified.
			conduct).					raentifica.
		position on July 6,						
		2010. FAC ¶ 315.						
7	FrontPoint	Initiated short	"Communications released as part of UBS' DOJ	X	X		X	Analysis
	Trading	position on CME	settlement demonstrate that Defendants were engaged a					not possible
		Euro currency	[sic] downward manipulation of Euribor during the time					because no
			that FrontPoint Trading transacted in CME Euro				of position)	specific
			currency futures contracts." FAC ¶ 350 (citing page					conduct
			from UBS DOJ SOF [FAC Ex. A-1 at 34] that identifies					identified.
		-	no conduct on October 21 or 25, 2010).					
		2010. FAC ¶ 349.						